



Victor Gtz <vmgtz36@gmail.com>

Clarification of your CBSA Privacy Act Request P-2026-19181 / JBITS

Victor Gtz <vmgtz36@gmail.com>

13 de junio de 2026 a las 5:44 p.m.

Para: Jonathan.Bitseene@cbsa-asfc.gc.ca

Dear Mr. Bitsene,

Further to your email of April 22, 2026 regarding file P-2026-19181, I respond as follows.

1. Requested timeframe. I specifically requested the period of March 20-21, 2026 because it was during that timeframe that the interventions relating to my personal situation - which are the basis of this request - took place. That period is the necessary and required one, and I indicated so from my initial request. The recordings I am seeking correspond to my processing at the port of entry and the subsequent transfers within that range; I therefore cannot narrow it to a different block without excluding material that is directly relevant to my case. If your office needs time anchors to locate the segments, I can provide the approximate times of the key events known to me, but this does not replace the need for the full period requested.

2. Identification already provided through the official channel. Regarding the identification requirement raised in your first email, I reiterate that this document was already submitted through the official ATIP Online Request portal: my Refugee Protection Claimant Document (the document known as the "brown paper," which establishes my status as a refugee protection claimant in Canada), in both its provisional form dated March 24, 2026 and its final form dated April 8, 2026. The required identification is therefore already on file.

3. Secure channel. From my first communication I noted that this request was filed through the official ATIP Online Request portal, precisely because it is the secure channel designated for this type of matter. I do not understand the purpose of that channel if, in the end, correspondence is conducted by email - a medium that you yourselves classify as insecure in the closing of your own messages. I reiterate my request that all communication, document requests, and responses be conducted through the official portal, where I can securely upload any additional document your office may require.

4. Redaction of the officers' conduct. I wish to clarify the scope of my request: I am not seeking the opinions, statements, or private interactions of third parties, nor the content of other persons' interviews. What I request is the conduct of the Border Services Officers in the exercise of their official functions during my processing - which is precisely the information that section 3 of the Privacy Act, paragraph (j), excludes from the definition of "personal information" for the purposes of sections 7, 8 and 26 of the Privacy Act and section 19 of the Access to Information Act: "information about an individual who is or was an officer or employee of a government institution that relates to the position or functions of the individual." A blanket redaction of the officers would have the effect of concealing precisely their official conduct, which is what the Act treats as disclosable. This provision remains in force in 2026. I would therefore be grateful if you could specify the precise basis on which you consider this exclusion does not apply to the officers' official conduct in this case.

I look forward to your reply through the official ATIP portal.

Sincerely,

Victor Manuel Gutierrez Verduzco

UCI: 11-5347-7808

CBSA ATIP file: P-2026-19181

[Texto citado oculto]