



Victor Gtz <vmgtz36@gmail.com>

Clarification of your CBSA Privacy Act Request P-2026-19189 / KLAMO

Victor Gtz <vmgtz36@gmail.com>
Para: Karine.Lamoureux@cbsa-asfc.gc.ca

13 de junio de 2026 a las 11:19 p.m.

Dear Ms. Lamoureux,

Further to your email of June 3, 2026 regarding file P-2026-19189:

Status of the request. On April 27, your office confirmed that it had located the videos for the requested period; on April 28, I confirmed that I require them; on May 13, your office invoked a 30-day extension; and on June 3, your office again requests a timeframe that was already set out in my request (April 8, 13:30-15:00) and that had already been used to locate the material. As the material is already identified and my request already confirmed, I would be grateful if you could kindly proceed with disclosure without restarting the process.

On the provision cited. Thank you for citing section 26 of the Privacy Act. Its closing words directed me to section 8 ("...shall refuse to disclose such information where the disclosure is prohibited under section 8"), which governs the disclosure of "personal information." The exclusions to that definition are set out in section 3, which provides that - for the purposes of sections 7, 8 and 26 - "personal information" does not include: "(j) information about an individual who is or was an officer or employee of a government institution that relates to the position or functions of the individual, including (i) the fact that the individual is or was an officer or employee of the government institution; and (iv) the name of the individual on a document prepared by the individual in the course of employment."

On that basis, I respectfully request the full name and the employee (or badge) number of the officer who attended me on April 8 (s. 3(j)(i) and (iv)).

Accordingly, in disclosing the video and the records, redaction would not properly apply to me, nor to the officer acting in the performance of his duties. Should unrelated third parties (other members of the public) appear, I understand the section 26 exemption may be applied to them (blurring, etc.).

On audio. The officer who attended me verbally confirmed to me that all of the airport's cameras record audio, and that the cameras at that same office also have audio.

I also reiterate the remaining points of my original request: (2) all documents, reports, notes and communications relating to my visit of April 8, including any notes or records created by the officer who attended me; and (3) any other records containing my personal information connected to that date and location.

I would be grateful if the disclosure and the response to these points could be provided through the official ATIP Online portal (EP2026_0516982).

Thank you for your attention. I look forward to your reply through the portal.

Sincerely,
Victor Manuel Gutierrez Verduzco
UCI: xx-xxxx-xxxx
CBSA ATIP file: P-2026-19189

[Texto citado oculto]